

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 and 27-29 are pending in the application. All claims have been finally rejected.

Claims 1-25 and 17-29 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting based on co-pending application number 08/810,646. The Applicants wish to place this rejection in abeyance until claims are otherwise allowed in the applications.

Claims 1-25 and 27-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Wilska et al. in view of Tekahara et al. These references have been discussed in prior replies, and those comments are incorporated here.

As now claimed, the docking system can interface with any of a plurality of wireless handheld telephones. Because Wilska only discusses an integrated telephone device, that telephone and device cannot be selected from a plurality of handheld wireless telephones, as now claimed.

Tekahara does not cure the deficiencies of Wilska. Therefore, the rejections under section 103 are obviated.

Reconsideration of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.


CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If

the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

By 
Rodney D. Johnson
Registration No. 36,558
Telephone: (978) 341-0036
Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Dated: May 6, 2005